	Case 2:20-cv-00354-TLN-DB Documen	t 37 Filed 07/27/21 Page 1 of 3
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	JOHN FRATUS,	No. 2:20-cv-0354 TLN DB P
11	Plaintiff,	
12	V.	<u>ORDER</u>
13	DAYSON, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	
15		
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with a civil rights	
17	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff alleges that he was suffered side effects from	
18	psychiatric medication and was denied medical treatment. Plaintiff has filed the instant motion to	
19	compel seeking information from counsel for defendants Hurley and Lozano, so that defendants	
20	Dayson, Houston, Rodgers, Siegel, and Vallar can be served. (ECF No. 36.) For the reasons set	
21	forth below, the court will deny the motion without prejudice.	
22	Plaintiff was advised in the March 17, 2021 order that if the U.S. Marshal, the California	
23	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, and the California Attorney General's Office "are	
24	unable to effectuate service of process, the Court may make further orders requiring the plaintiff	
25	to assist in providing additional information in order to effectuate service. (ECF No. 22 at 2.)	
26	Plaintiff is entitled to assistance in effectuating service in light of his status as an	
27	incarcerated pro se plaintiff proceeding in forma pauperis. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(c)(3). However, it is	
28	plaintiff's burden to obtain sufficient information regarding defendants' identities and current	

Case 2:20-cv-00354-TLN-DB Document 37 Filed 07/27/21 Page 2 of 3

addresses to effect service of process. <u>Puett v. Blandford</u>, 92 F.2d 270, 275 (9th Cir. 1990) (in forma pauperis litigant is entitled to have process served by U.S. Marshal if litigant provides necessary information to help effectuate service). Additionally, plaintiff concedes in his motion that the notice indicating defendants Dayson, Houston, Vallar, Siegel, and Rodgers could not be served provided plaintiff with additional information. He now has more specific information regarding their names and potential workplaces.

Given that plaintiff has relevant information regarding the unserved defendants, the court finds that he has sufficient information to complete the USM-285 forms sent to him along with the court's May 17, 2021 order. Thus, the court will deny the motion to compel. Plaintiff is warned that failure to serve any defendant may result in a recommendation that those defendants be dismissed from this action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m).

For the reasons set forth above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's motion to compel (ECF No. 36) is denied without prejudice; and
- 2. Plaintiff shall complete and submit the Notice of Submission of Documents sent to plaintiff with the May 17, 2021 order along with:
 - a. One completed USM-285 form for each defendant;
 - b. Six copies of the endorsed amended complaint filed October 26, 2020; and
 - c. One completed summons form (if not previously provided) or show good cause why he cannot provide such information.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated: July 27, 2021

28 DB:12

Case 2:20-cv-00354-TLN-DB Document 37 Filed 07/27/21 Page 3 of 3 DB:1/Orders/Prisoner/Civil.Rights/frat0354.mt.comp